

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,748	07/12/2001	Thomas Godicke	SCHN:006 9602	
27890 STEPTOE & J	7590 12/27/2006 OHNSON LLP	EXAMINER		
1330 CONNEC WASHINGTO	CTICUT AVENUE, N.V	TRAN, THIEN D		
WASHINGTO	JN, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	S	
	7	
<b>-</b> .		

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/902,748	GODICKE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thien D. Tran	2616		

Advisory Action	09/902,748	GODICKE ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thien D. Tran	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	 ress		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<ul> <li>THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.</li> <li>1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have in fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a notice of Appeal has been filed.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
(d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
4. Light The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	, <u> </u>				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1,9 and 11</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed ame	ndment		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-8</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Chave Te.	Mou		
		CHAU N	GUYEN		
		SUPERVISORY PA	ITENT EXAMINE		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 4-8 raise 112 second paragraph since they are dependent on the canceled claims.